UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/518,144	12/17/2004	Teruhiro Shiono	10873.1549USWO	7935	
	148 7590 06/20/2008 AMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON P.C.			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2902-0902 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			VERDERAME, ANNA L		
WIINNEAPOLI	5, MIN 55402		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1795	_	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/20/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/518,144	SHIONO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ANNA L. VERDERAME	1795	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by a Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. eeriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b)</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of t</li></ul>	This action is non-final. owance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the r	merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application Papers  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and claim Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	hdrawn from consideration.  d/or election requirement.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 2004  Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	f is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ the drawing(s) be held in abeyar prrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docur</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docur</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a</li> </ul>	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National S	stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/17/2004, 01/30/2007, 11/13/200	8) Paper No( 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	



Application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,144 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claim(s) 1-15, drawn to an optical recording medium wherein the recording layer contains titanium oxide.

Group 2, claim(s) 16-17, drawn to a method for producing an information recording medium comprising the step of forming the recording layer by coating of a coating solution containing titanium oxide.

Group 3, claim(s) 18-28, drawn to an optical information recording and reproducing apparatus for recording an optical recording medium wherein at least one layer contains titanium oxide.

2. The inventions listed as Groups 1-3 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Claims 1-3 all are united by their common recitation of a recording medium wherein at least one recording layer contains titanium oxide. However, this common feature is not a special technical feature because recording media having at least one recording layer containing titanium oxide are disclosed in the prior art as evidenced by Higuchi US 2002/0195540. An optical recording medium having at least one recording layer containing titanium oxide

Application/Control Number: 10/518,144 Page 3

Art Unit: 1795

is disclosed in Higuchi at (0048). Higuchi corresponds to an "X" reference in PCT parlance.

3. A telephone call was made to Douglas Mueller on February 6, 2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

  Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise

Application/Control Number: 10/518,144

Art Unit: 1795

require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

<u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Page 4

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANNA L. VERDERAME whose telephone number is (571)272-6420. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8A-4:30P.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,144 Page 5

Art Unit: 1795

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on (571)272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/A. L. V./ Examiner, Art Unit 1795

/Martin J Angebranndt/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795